Contribution ID: 2b83f349-200f-4bcc-b18a-543ccd3d42cf

Date: 05/11/2020 10:11:44

Open public consultation for the Driving licence legislation ex-post evaluation

Fields marked with * are mandatory.	Fields marked with
-------------------------------------	--------------------

Introduction

The Union rules on driving licences have been progressively established through three Directives with the intention of improving road safety, facilitating the freedom of movement for citizens moving inside the Union and reducing the possibility of fraud.

The first Directive was introduced in December 1980, the second in July 1991 and the third (Directive 2006 /126/EC) was adopted in 2006 and became applicable in January 2013.

The new provisions of Directive 2006/126/EC imply, for example, that any European citizen moving to another European Member States does not have to exchange the driving licence as long as it is valid. Moreover, all applicants must prove a high level of driving skills since the Directive sets up high standards for drivers' skills and knowledge. Drivers of buses and trucks have to pass periodic medical examinations every 5 years. Finally, the uniform driving licence model allows for easy recognition of the right to drive across the EU and its anti-forgery measures help guarantee that only the holders of a legitimate driving licence are allowed to drive.

This questionnaire is part of the evaluation process under the Better Regulation Principles. It addresses the general evaluation criteria of relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, EU added value and coherence. Evaluations allow the European Commission to check whether European legislation deliver as intended and remain relevant and fit for purpose. This evaluation is intended to identify potential problems of the Driving Licence Directive and their drivers. It should also provide the evidence the Commission needs to reduce administrative burden and eliminate unnecessary costs without undermining policy objectives. Depending on the results of the evaluation the European Commission may decide to revise the Directive.

Please note that throughout this questionnaire, the term Driving Licence Directive, or the Directive, will refer to the third directive adopted in 2006 and which entered into force in 2013.

About you

- *Language of my contribution
 - Bulgarian
 - Croatian
 - Czech
 - Danish
 - Dutch

Estonian Finnish French German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(^D English
French German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other First name	([®] Estonian
German Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Finnish
Greek Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(French
Hungarian Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(German
Irish Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Greek
Italian Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Hungarian
Latvian Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish 1 am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Irish
Lithuanian Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(¹ Italian
Maltese Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Latvian
Polish Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Lithuanian
Portuguese Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Maltese
Romanian Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Polish
 Slovak Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name 	(Portuguese
Slovenian Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Romanian
Spanish Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Slovak
 Swedish *I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name 	(Slovenian
*I am giving my contribution as Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Spanish
Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other * First name	(Swedish
Academic/research institution Business association Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other * First name	*I ar	n giving my contribution as
Company/business organisation Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other * First name	(
Consumer organisation EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other	(Business association
 EU citizen Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Company/business organisation
 Environmental organisation Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name	(Onsumer organisation
 Non-EU citizen Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name 	(EU citizen
 Non-governmental organisation (NGO) Public authority Trade union Other *First name 	(Environmental organisation
Public authorityTrade unionOther*First name	(Non-EU citizen
Trade union Other *First name	(Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Other *First name	(Public authority
*First name	(Trade union
	(Other
	* Firs	st name
I WIELLIE		

*Surname			
NORDQVIST			
*Email (this won't be p	ublished)		
maria.nordqvist@svmc.s	se		
*Organisation name			
255 character(s) maximum			
Riksorganisationen Sver	iges MotorCyklister, SMC		
*Organisation size			
Micro (1 to 9 em	iployees)		
Small (10 to 49)	employees)		
Medium (50 to 2	249 employees)		
Large (250 or m	ore)		
Transparency registe 255 character(s) maximum Check if your organisation is on t making.		oluntary database for organisations	seeking to influence EU decision-
Identification number: 331650823587-92			
*Country of origin			
Please add your country of origin			
Afghanistan	Djibouti	Libya	Saint Martin
Aland Islands	Dominica	Liechtenstein	Saint Pierre and Miquelon
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	Saint Vincent
	Republic		and the Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa			
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and

Príncipe

Angola	EquatorialGuinea	Malawi	Saudi Arabia
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and Barbuda	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall Islands	Singapore
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	[◎] Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French Polynesia	Micronesia	South Africa
Bangladesh	French	Moldova	South Georgia
	Southern and		and the South
	Antarctic Lands		Sandwich
			Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire SaintEustatius andSaba	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Bosnia and Herzegovina	Guam	Nepal	Syria

BotswanaBouvet IslandBrazilBritish IndianOcean Territory	GuatemalaGuernseyGuineaGuinea-Bissau	NetherlandsNew CaledoniaNew ZealandNicaragua	TaiwanTajikistanTanzaniaThailand
British Virgin Islands	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island and McDonald Islands	Niue	Togo
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	NorthernMariana Islands	Tonga
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and Tobago
Cameroon	celand	North Macedonia	Tunisia
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks andCaicos Islands
Central AfricanRepublic	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New Guinea	United Arab Emirates
Christmas Island	Italy	Paraguay	United Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling) Islands	Japan	Philippines	United StatesMinor OutlyingIslands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay

0	Comoros	Jordan	0	Poland	0	US Virgin Islands
	Congo	Kazakhstan		Portugal		Uzbekistan
	Cook Islands	Kenya		Puerto Rico		Vanuatu
	Costa Rica	Kiribati		Qatar		Vatican City
	Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo		Réunion		Venezuela
	Croatia	Kuwait		Romania		Vietnam
0	Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	0	Russia		Wallis and Futuna
0	Curaçao	Laos	0	Rwanda	0	Western Sahara
0	Cyprus	Latvia	0	Saint Barthélemy	0	Yemen
0	Czechia	Lebanon	0	Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha	0	Zambia
©	Democratic Republic of the Congo	Lesotho	©	Saint Kitts and Nevis	©	Zimbabwe
	Denmark	Liberia		Saint Lucia		

*Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your contribution, country of origin and the respondent type profile that you selected will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Relevance

The main objectives of the Driving Licence Directive are to improve road safety, facilitate the free movement of citizens and reduce the risks of fraud. The Driving Licence Directive regulates, amongst other areas, the mutual recognition of driving licences and the principle that each EU citizen holds only one licence. It also regulates minimum standards for examination and medical requirements.

*4. To what extent are the current categories of driving licence adequate to new

To a great extent

vehicle types and technologies?

- Somewhat
- Very little
- Not at all
- I can't say / no opinion

4.a. Please comment

1500 character(s) maximum

Utöver krav på ABS-bromsar på MC finns inga diskussioner om ny teknik då det gäller A-behörigheterna. Det finns inga krav i A och B-behörigheter om tekniken ADAS i bilar. Tester visar att bilarna inte upptäcker MC och mopeder som kör vid körbanans kant. Självkörande bilar är inte självkörande, vilket förarna måste vara medvetna om liksom att det tar tid att ta över kontrollen av ett fordon som ställts in på självkörande läge. Detta bör självklart ingå som en obligatorisk del i ALLA körkortsbehörigheter.

Specific measures related to drivers' age have been introduced by the Directive to guarantee a high level of road safety. These age specific measures should also fit the drivers' mobility needs. For example, Member States may, for licence holders residing on their territory and having reached the age of 50, reduce the period of validity, increase the frequency of medical checks or/and introduce refresher courses. Regarding motorcycles, a requirement of a minimum two years of experience on category A2 before access to motorcycles of category A, can be set by Member States (graduated access).

5. What is your opinion on the following statements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion
* Periodic fitness checks are important to ensure safety in all licence categories especially after a certain age.	0	•	0	0	0	0
* The graduated access system for motorcycle licences is important to ensure road safety.	0	0	0	•	•	0
* The Driving Licence Directive does not take into account mobility needs of people younger than 18.	0	0	0	•	0	0

5.a. Please comment

1500 character(s) maximum

Regelbundna hälsokontroller över en viss ålder vore bra då många MC-förare dödas och skadas för livet av bilister som inte sett dem/som gör regelvidriga manövrar i trafiken.

Det finns inga bevis för att stegvis access för A-körkorten har någon effekt på trafiksäkerheten. Däremot leder systemet till att man väntar med att ta körkort till 24 år eller äldre och därför inte får någon erfarenhet av att köra en mindre MC vilket kan vara negativt för trafiksäkerheten.

Statistik visar att många, särskilt kvinnor, kuggas redan i det inledande manöverprovet och där avbryts provet. Det gör att en stor del av körkortsutbildningen läggs på fordonskontroll, det vill säga övningar på inhägnat område i låga hastigheter. I SMC:s enkäter framförs att man saknar utbildning i kurvteknik (där 7 av 10 dör på MC). Att repetera provet tre gånger (A1, A2 och A samt ett antal gånger vid underkännande) har ingen bevisad effekt på trafiksäkerheten. Önskvärt är ett system där fokus ligger på utbildning som innehåller riskmedvetenhet, körstrategier, attityder, kurvteknik och körning i trafik.

Ett prov för samtliga A-behörigheter bör vara tillräckligt och innehållet behöver en totalöversyn.

Kraven på provfordon betyder att kvinnor underkänns oftare och bör ses över.

Unga förare under 18 år har i Sverige möjlighet att inom ramen för körkortsdirektivet köra motorcykel, moped och traktor, detta bör vara möjligt i alla MS för att möjliggöra deras transporter.

Driving licences can only be issued to applicants who have successfully passed theoretical, medical and/or practical tests depending on the licence type and issuing country.

6. What is your opinion on the following statements concerning the practical examination requirements?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion
* Examination requirements are outdated in relation to vehicle technologies for low and zero emission vehicles, driver assistance systems and automation.	©	•	0	•	•	0
* Examination requirements are outdated in relation to new mobility habits.	©	0	•	•	•	0

Effectiveness

As explained above, the main objectives of the Driving Licence Directive are to improve road safety, facilitate the free movement of citizens and reduce the risks of fraud. The Directive has been in application since 2013. The next questions gather your views on how much the Directive has contributed to improve road safety, facilitate free movement of citizens and prevent driving licence fraud.

- *7. In your view, what was the effect of the Driving Licence Directive on the achievement of road safety objectives and particularly the reduction in the number of road fatalities?
 - Very positive

Very negative						
No opinion						
. What is your opinion on the	following	stateme	nt?			
		To a grea exter	t Som	ewhat lit	Not at all	I can't say / no opinion
 The graduated access system for m licences has improved road safety. 	notorcycle	0		0	•	0
* Drivers that succeed examination a qualified than before.	re better	0		•	0	0
ne Driving Licence Directive introduce ategories (C, CE, C1, CE1, D, DE, D1 equent compliance checks with minimals. In your view, what has been alidity period on the driving licentees.	, DE1, i.e. linum standar	cences for ds of physic act on roa	coaches/bical and me	uses/trucks) ntal fitness.	entailing mo	re
	Very positive	Positive	Neutral	Negative	Very negative	No opinion
* For professional drivers with only national activities	0	0	•	0	0	0
* For professional drivers with activities in other Member States	0	0	•	0	0	0
0. Comparing the current situ	ation with	n the situ	otion bot			
hat is your opinion on the foll	Strongly			ween 200 Disagree	Strongly	No
* Travelling by road through another Member State is administratively less burdensome for drivers.		atements	?			

Positive

Neutral

Negative





- *11. Are you the holder of an EU model driving licence (please see an example of the Union model implemented as of January 2013 in the picture above)?
 - Yes
 - O No
 - I don't have a driving licence
 - 12. In your view, the EU driving licence model:

	To a great extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	I can't say / no opinion
* Has solved issues related to the recognition of your driving licence when travelling or driving through another Member State.	0	•	0	0	0
* Has solved issues related to the recognition of your driving licence when exercising professional driving activities.	0	0	0	0	•
* Has facilitated your exchange of the driving licence when moving to another EU Member State.	0	0	0	0	0
* Makes it more difficult to forge a driving licence than the former national models.	0	0	0	0	0

12.a. Please comment

150	500 character(s) maximum							

Member States may already introduce a microchip in the driving licence (EU model) to store additional data (in line with relevant data protection rules). However, new technological solutions regarding vehicles and road use, as well as the digitalisation of administrative processes, are evolving quickly.

- * 13. Some Member States use electronic or "digital" European driving licenses while others do not. In your view, does this have an impact on the mutual recognition of driving licenses in Europe?
 - I agree
 - I don't agree
 - I don't know / No opinion

13.a. Please comment

1500 character(s) maximum

En tredjedel av dem som dör på tvåhjulig motorcykel i Sverige har inget giltigt A-körkort. Andelen som skadas svårt på MC är lika hög. Många dödas i bil av bilförare som saknar körkort. Det förekommer utländska förare i tunga fordon i Sverige som inte kan uppvisa giltiga körkort.

En möjlighet att minska denna höga andel vore ett elektroniskt chip i ett giltigt körkort som ett krav för att starta ett fordon.

Efficiency

Administrative procedures such as applying for a licence or exchanging a licence can be time consuming and/or involve financial "out-of-pocket" costs for citizens and companies. This may create obstacles to the free movement of people and goods.

14. Have you ever faced any of the problems mentioned below when:

	An administrative burden	High out-of- pocket costs	Both the burden and the costs	None of the burden nor the costs	No opinion
* Applying to obtain a driving licence.	0	0	0	•	0
* Exchanging your driving licence when changing residence to another country.	•	0	•	0	•
* Being controlled by police officers while driving in another country.	0	0	0	0	•
* Mislaying your driving licence in another Member State.	0	0	0	0	•

* Having your licence withdrawn by the authorities of another Member State you are driving through.	•	0	0	0	•
* Moving outside the European Union with an EU licence.	0	0	0	0	•

14.a. Is there any other situations in which you faced problems relative to your driving licence? You may also comment on your answers to question 14.

1500 character(s) maximum		

15. What is your opinion on the following statements regarding professional drivers' licences?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	No opinion
* The introduction of the 5-years validity period entails excessive administrative burden.	0	0	0	0	0	•
* The introduction of the 5-years validity period entails high out-of-pocket cost.	©	0	0	0	0	•
* Higher minimum age thresholds in some Member States entail difficulties to exercise professional activities.	0	0	0	0	0	•
* Differences in minimum age thresholds between Member States entail difficulties for professional drivers or for road haulage firms.	0	0	0	0	0	•

15.a. Please comment

1500 character(s) maximum

Den sista tiden har media i Sverige uppmärksammat att taxiförare är överrepresenterade då det gäller sexbrott. Trots att man dömts får man fortsätta köra taxi. Det behövs ett bredare innehåll i direktivet som ställer krav på lämplighet hos professionella förare, både de som ansvarar för person- och godstransporter. Det är inte bara trafiksäkerhet som är viktigt utan även passagerarnas säkerhet och trygghet i samband med att man köper en resa.

https://www.tv4.se/artikel/5a8dIPKFoKudl4ILXxVpmH/granskning-taxifoerare-begar-tiotusentals-brott-varje-artikel/self-art

EU added value

The following questions address the contribution of the Driving Licence Directive to reach its objectives (road safety, free movement of citizens and prevention of fraud) in comparison to what Member States could have achieved by adopting national laws.

Before the entry into force of the Directive, driving licences contained different sets of information. The Directive intended to reduce complexity for relevant national authorities. Common standards were designed to limit fraudulent actions, ensure quality of testing and speed up the exchange of information between Member States.

16. In your view, to what extent has the European Union legislation on driving licences improved the following aspects compared to what could have been reasonably expected from Member States acting individually at national level?

	To a great extent	Somewhat	Very little	Not at all	I can't say / no opinion
* Road safety for citizens	0	0	•	0	0
* Equal treatment for non-professional drivers	©	0	0	0	•
* Equal treatment for professional drivers	0	0	0	0	•
* Recognition and exchange of licences for professional drivers of buses/coaches/trucks	0	0	0	0	•
* Freedom of movement for drivers	0	•	0	0	0
* Fight against driving licence fraud, for example 'driving licence tourism'	0	0	0	0	•

16.a. You may comment on your answers to question 16 or mention other aspects where the European Union legislation on driving licences is beneficial compared to what could have been reasonably expected from Member States acting individually at national level.

1500 character(s) maximum

Körkortssystemet har lett till extremt höga kostnader i Sverige för många medborgare som vill köra motorcykel. Systemet har lett till att färre tar A-körkort. Körkortstagarna på MC väljer bort stegvis access och medelåldern för A-kort är 35 år. Det är sannolikt en stor och viktig orsak till att antalet och andelen dödade utan körkort ökar och nu är en tredjedel av samtliga som dör på tvåhjulig MC. Andelen svårt skadade utan körkort är lika hög.

Det finns ingen forskning eller utvärdering som visar att stegvis access ökar trafiksäkerheten. Det finns inget som säger att den som kan leda en MC i en körgård är en säker förare. Det finns inga bevis för att den som gör tre identiska körprov blir en säkrare förare.

Det finns klara bevis för att kvinnor underkänns oftare än män i körprov för MC i Sverige och i andra länder. Kraven på provfordon i direktivet är sannolikt orsaken visar en svensk studie. Trots att kvinnor satsar med på utbildning i trafikskola och privat, trots att kvinnor är äldre än män då de tar MC-körkort och att kvinnor är mindre inblandade i olyckor underkänns kvinnor oftare i körprov. Detta är ett systemfel som EU-kommissionen måste eliminera i kommande direktiv.

Coherence

This section looks at how well different pieces of European legislation work together with the Directive, especially those EU interventions which help achieve its objectives. The following three are considered:

- The Directive on "Cross-border enforcement of traffic rules" sets out rules to reduce the impunity of foreign drivers who commit dangerous traffic offences in another Member Stata by allowing police authorities in different EU countries to share information to identify offenders. (see <u>summary</u>, see <u>Directive</u>)
- The Directive on "Training and certification of professional drivers" sets out initial qualification and periodic training requirements for professional drivers of trucks, coaches and buses. (no summary available, see Directive)
- The Regulation on "Vehicle type-approval" sets out EU-wide rules on technical requirements and procedures to ensure that new types of motor vehicles and their trailers conform to safety and environmental protection requirements (see summary, see Regulation).
- 17. Have you faced any problems in the past because of incoherence between the Driving Licence Directive and the following pieces of legislation?

	Yes	No	No opinion
* Cross-border enforcement of traffic rules	0	0	•
* Training and certification of professional drivers	0	0	•
* Vehicle type-approval	0	0	•

17.a. Please explain the coherence problems you were fa	aced with.
---	------------

18. Please feel free to upload documents, such as additional evidence supporting your responses or a position paper. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. The document is an optional complement and serves as additional background reading to better understand your position.

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

19. Please provide references to any studies or documents that you think are relevant for this consultation, with links for online download where possible.

1500 character(s) maximum

SMC har ett antal år publicerat studier som visar andelen dödade och svårt skadade på MC. Senaste versionen på svenska: https://www.svmc.se/smc_filer/SMC%20centralt/Rapporter/2020 /Allvarliga_trafikbrott_7_0.pdf

Rapporten publicerades och presenterades vid If Z-konferensen 2020: https://www.svmc.se/smc_filer/SMC% 20centralt/Rapporter/2020/Accidents_Sweden.PDF

Rapport från VTI om mäns och kvinnors möjlighet att genomföra körprov med godkänt resultat: https://www.svmc.se/smc_filer/SMC%20centralt/Rapporter/2016/M%c3%a4ns%20och%20kvinnors%20m%c3%b6jligheter%20att%20genomf%c3%b6ra%20f%c3%b6rarprov%20%20N17-2015.pdf
Slutrapport Transportstyrelsen: Mäns och kvinnors möjlighet att genomföra körprov för MC-körkort med godkänt resultat: https://www.svmc.se/smc_filer/SMC%20centralt/Rapporter/2016/Slutrapport%20M%c3%a4ns%20och%20kvinnors%20m%c3%b6jligheter%20att%20genomf%c3%b6ra%20k%c3%b6rprov%20f%c3%b6r%20mc-k%c3%b6rkort.pdf

Contact

MOVE-C2-SECRETARIAT@ec.europa.eu